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Let us consider the daily infections $t \in [1, 60] \rightarrow y(t)$ of COVID-19 in China during the first wave:

Location $L = 22$ and amplitude $A = 15136$. 
Is it possible to predict the true location \( L = 22 \) and the true amplitude \( A = 15136 \) before a date \( T < L \)?

**Figure:** inobserved and observed infections
Popular forecasting models such as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) are unable to define or forecast peak values in the data. Before going further, we need to answer a more fundamental question: What is a peak? For finding peaks in a time series, the SciPy signal processing module offers the powerful scipy.signal.findpeaks function. In the SciPy implementation, there is a single mandatory requirement for a sample to be labeled as a peak. A sample $y[k]$ is considered a peak, if the value is a local maximum, e.g.

$$y[k] > y[k - 1] \text{ and } y[k] > y[k + 1].$$
Let $A, L, S$ three positive numbers and $t$ a positive integer. Let us corrupt $A \exp\left(-\frac{(t-L)^2}{S^2}\right)$, by a random number $e(t)$. We consider the observation $y(t) = A \exp\left(-\frac{(t-L)^2}{S^2}\right) + e(t)$. The peak of our time series $y$ takes place at the location $L$ with the amplitude $A$ and the width $S$. 
Time series with several peaks

**Figure:** Time series with several peaks
We propose to forecast $A, L, S$ from the observations before the location $L$, i.e., from $y(t), t \in [1, T]$ with $T < L$. 
To estimate the three parameters \((A, L, S)\) based on the \(T\) observations, we consider LAD nonlinear regression

\[
f(T, a, l, s) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} |y(t) - a \exp\left(-\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2}\right)|}{T} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2}\right)|a - y(t) \exp\left(\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2}\right)|}{T}.
\]

For each \((l, s)\) fixed, the minimum of the function \(a \rightarrow f(T, a, l, s)\) is attained at the weighted median \(a(T, l, s)\) of the sequence \((x(t) = y(t) \exp\left(\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2}\right) : t = 1, \ldots, T\) endowed with the weights \((w(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2}\right) : t = 1, \ldots, T\).
Algorithm 1 Our algorithm to predict the amplitude, the location and the width of the peak.

Require: $T$, and $h > T$
1: For each $(l, s)$ fixed, the minimum of the function $a \rightarrow f(T, a, l, s)$ is attained at the weighted median $a(T, l, s)$ of the sequence $(x(t) = y(t) \exp \left( \frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2} \right) : t = 1, \ldots, T)$ endowed with the weights $(w(t) = \exp \left( -\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2} \right) : t = 1, \ldots, T)$.
2: Calculate the minimizer $s(T, l)$ of the curve $s \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l, s), l, s)$ for each $l \in [1, h]$.
3: We propose the minimizer $\hat{l}(T)$ of the sequence $l \in [1, h] \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l, s(T, l)), l, s(T, l))$ as a predictor of the peak $L$.
4: We propose $\hat{s}(T) = s(T, \hat{l})$ as a predictor of the width $S$.
5: We propose $\hat{a}(T) = a(T, \hat{l}, s(T, \hat{l}))$ as a predictor of the amplitude $A$.
Ensure: $\hat{l}(T), \hat{s}(T)$ and $\hat{a}(T)$.
Our algorithm for forecasting with free noise

\( A = 15000, \ L = 22, \ S = 10 \) and the time horizon \( h = 30 \). In the following figure, we plot the curve \( l \in [1, h] \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l, s(T, l)), l, s(T, l)) \) with \( T = 2, 3, 10 \) and 20.

Figure: With \( T=2 \)
Our algorithm forcasting with free noise

\[ A = 15000, \ L = 22, \ S = 10 \text{ and the time horizon } h = 30. \]  

In the following figure, we plot the curve 

\[ l \in [1, h] \to f(T, a(T, l, s(T, l)), l, s(T, l)) \]  

with \( T = 3, 10 \text{ and } 20. \)

**Figure:** With \( T = 3 \)
Our algorithm forecasting with free noise

\( A = 15000, \ L = 22, \ S = 10 \) and the time horizon \( h = 30 \). In the following figure, we plot the curve

\( l \in [1, h] \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l, s(T, l)), l, s(T, l)) \) with \( T = 2, 3, 10 \) and 20.

Figure: With \( T=10 \)
Our algorithm forecasting with free noise

\[ A = 15000, \ L = 22, \ S = 10 \] and the time horizon \( h = 30 \). In the
following figure, we plot the curve
\[ l \in [1, h] \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l, s(T, l)), l, s(T, l)) \] with \( T = 2, 3, 10 \) and \( 20 \).

\[ A=15000,L=22,h=30,S=10,T=20 \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
0 & 100 & 200 & 300 \\
22 & 24 & 26 & 28 & 30
\end{array} \]

\[ \text{objective function} \]

Figure: With \( T=20 \)
Let us first recall the probabilistic interpretation of LAD regression. We have

\[ y(t) = A \exp\left( -\frac{(t - L)^2}{S^2} \right) + e(t), \]

where the errors \( e(t) \) are i.i.d. with the common probability distribution

\[ \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left( -\frac{|e|}{b} \right), \quad \text{with the scale} \quad b > 0. \]
Based on the data \((y(1), \ldots, y(T))\) the likelihood is equal to
\[
\prod_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{|y(t) - a \exp\left(-\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2}\right)|}{b}\right).
\]

It comes that the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) \(\hat{\theta}\) of the parameter \(\theta = (a, l, s, b)\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
(\hat{a}, \hat{l}, \hat{s}) &= \text{arg min}\{f(T, a, l, s) : a, l, s\} \\
\hat{b} &= f(T, \hat{a}, \hat{l}, \hat{s}).
\end{align*}
\]

There are many local minimizer (Dermoune, Ounaissi, Slaou 2023).
Our algorithm as a function of $A, L, S, SNR, seed, h$

The $SNR = \frac{A}{b\sqrt{2}}$.

$$\hat{l}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) = \arg\min_{l \in [1,h]}$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} |a(T, l, s(T, l)) \exp\left(-\frac{(t - l)^2}{s^2(T, l)}\right) - y(t)|$$

$$\hat{s}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) = s(T, \hat{l}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed)),$$

$$\hat{a}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) = a(T, \hat{l}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed)).$$
Here we plot the objective function
\[ l \in [18, 25] \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l, s(T, l)), l, s(T, l)) \] with
\[ A = 1, h = 30, S = 10, L = 22, SNR = 1000, \text{seed} = 280, \text{and } T = 4, 6, 8, 9, 10: \]
Forcasting the amplitude, the location and the width of the

T=6

\[ \phi_{\text{L,fun}[18:25, 3]} \]

\[ \begin{array}{cccc}
18 & 19 & 20 & 21 \\
0.00004 & 0.00008 & 0.00012 \\
\end{array} \]
Forcasting the amplitude, the location and the width of the peak of time series using the weighted median.
Forcasting the amplitude, the location and the width of the
Forcasting the amplitude, the location and the width of the peak of time series using the weighted median.
Minimum number of observations needed for the exact prediction

For each fixed $A, L, h, S, SNR, seed$, let us define:

1) The minimum number of observations needed for a good prediction of the true amplitude $A$ equals

$$T_1(A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) = \min \{ T : \hat{a}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) \approx \hat{a}(T + 1, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) \} \approx \hat{a}(h, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) \}.$$

2) The minimum number of observations needed for the exact prediction of the true location $L$ equals

$$T_2(A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) = \min \{ T : \hat{l}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) \approx \hat{l}(h, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) \} \approx \hat{l}(h, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) \}.$$
3) The minimum number of observations needed for a good prediction of the true width $S$ equals

$$T_3(A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) = \min\{T : \hat{s}(T, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed) \approx \ldots \hat{s}(h, A, L, h, S, SNR, seed)\}.$$
Here we plot the curves $T \in [4, h] \rightarrow \hat{l}(T, A, L, S, SNR, seed)$, $T \in [4, h] \rightarrow \hat{s}(T, A, L, S, SNR, seed)$, $T \in [4, h] \rightarrow \hat{a}(T, A, L, S, SNR, seed)$ with $A = 1$, $L = 22$, $S = 10$, $SNR = 1000$, and $seed = 280$. The curves $\hat{l}, \hat{a}$ and $\hat{s}$ converge at $T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = 9$. 

![Graph showing curves](image-url)
Figure: The curve $T \in [4, h] \rightarrow \hat{l}(T)$. Forcasting the amplitude, the location and the width of the...
Figure: The curve $T \in [4, h] \rightarrow \hat{s}(T)$.
Amplitude, width, signal to noise ratio effects

We recall that \( y(t) = A \exp\left(-\frac{(t-L)^2}{S^2}\right) + e_b(t) \), with \( e_b(t) \) is the Laplace noise having th scale \( b \). The equality

\[
Tf(T, a, l, s) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} |a \exp\left(-\frac{(t-l)^2}{s^2}\right) - A \exp\left(-\frac{(t-L)^2}{S^2}\right) - e_b(t)|
\]

shows that our objective function depends also on \( A, L, S, e_b \).

Hence the weighted median \( a(T, l, s) = a(T, l, s, A, L, S, e_b) \), \( s(T, l) = s(T, l, A, L, S, e_b) \) and \( \hat{l}(T) = \hat{l}(T, A, L, S, e_b) \) depend also on \( A, L, S \) and \( e_b \).

Now, we can announce the following results.

We have

\[
a(T, l, s, A, L, S, e_b) = Aa(T, l, s, 1, L, S, SNR, seed),
\]

\[
s(T, l, A, L, S, e_b) = s(T, l, 1, L, S, SNR, seed),
\]

\[
\hat{l}(T, A, L, S, e_b) = \hat{l}(T, 1, L, S, SNR, seed).
\]
Width effect

Here we plot the curve $S \in [1, 10] \rightarrow Tmin(S)$ with $A = 1$, $L = 22$, $h = 30$, $SNR = 1000$, seed=280.

$21, 20, 19, 17, 16, 15, 14, 12, 12, 9$. 
SNR effect: $SNR \geq 100$

$$A = 1; L = 22; h = 30; S = 10$$

$SNR = 100, \ldots, 1000$: 18, 13, 13, 13, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 9.$
SNR effect: \( SNR = 10 \)

Figure: data plus noise.
SNR effect: \( SNR = 5 \)

\[ A=1, L=22, S=10, SNR=5, h=30 \]

Figure: data plus noise.
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SNR effect: $SNR = 1$

Figure: data plus noise.
SNR effect: $SNR \leq 10$

$SNR = 1, \ldots, 10$: 30, 30, 28, 28, 30, 30, 30, 30, 22, 22.$

Figure: The curve $SNR \in [1, 10] \rightarrow Tmin(SNR)$. 
Minimum number $T_{min}$ of observations needed for the exact prediction of the true location $L$: the case $T^* \geq L$

In the case where the number of observations $T_{min}$ needed for the exact prediction is such that $T^* \geq L$, our prediction is not helpful. We recall that we want to predict the location $L$ from the date $T < L$. 
Instead of predicting the peak, we propose to define a time of the entry in the region of the peak and the exit time from the region of the peak as follows. The time of the entry in the region of the peak is based on two threshold values $\tau_1, \tau_2$. We look for the first time $T(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ such that the sequence $T \rightarrow \hat{l}(T)$ satisfies

$$|\hat{l}(T) - \hat{l}(T + i)| \leq \tau_2, \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, \tau_1.$$
Having the observations $T > T(\tau_1, \tau_2)$, if $T$ is not the peak, we expect that the peak will happen soon. The exit time from the region of the peak is defined by the first time $T$ after $T(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ such that

$$|\hat{l}(T) - \hat{l}(T + i)| \leq \tau_3, \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, \tau_1.$$
In the case $A = 1$, $L = 22$, $h = 35$, $S = 10$, $SNR = 40$, $\tau_1 = 3$; $\tau_2 = 1$; $\tau_3 = 0$, we have

$\hat{l}(11 : 31) : 19, 15, 17, 21, 21, 20, 23, 24, 22, 22, 23, 23, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22.$

The entry time in the region of the peak equals 16. The exit time from the region of the peak equals 22.
Remark

What happens if we permute $s$ and $l$ in our algorithm?

**Algorithm 2** This new algorithm is not suitable and converges to another local minimizer of our objective function.

**Require:** $T$, and $h > T$

1. Calculate the minimizer $l(T, s)$ of the curve $l \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l, s), l, s)$ for each $s \in [1, 20]$.
2. We propose the minimizer $\hat{s}(T)$ of the sequence $s \in [1, 20] \rightarrow f(T, a(T, l(T, s), s), l(T, s), s)$ as a predictor of the width $S$.
3. We propose $\hat{l}(T) = l(T, \hat{s}(T))$ as a predictor of the width $L$.
4. We propose $\hat{a}(T) = a(T, l(T, \hat{s}(T)), \hat{s}(T))$ as a predictor of the amplitude $A$. 

Forcasting the amplitude, the location and the width of the
Algorithm 3 This new algorithm is also not suitable and converges to another local minimizer of our objective function.

Require: $T \geq 4$, init

1. Calculate the minimizer $\text{optim}(\text{init}(s), f(s + 1, \cdot))$ for each $s = 3, \ldots, T - 1$ with init(3) = init.

Ensure: $(\hat{a}(T), \hat{l}(T), \hat{s}(T)) = \text{optim}(\text{init}(T - 1), f(T, \cdot))$. 
Let us consider the daily infections $t \in [1, 60] \rightarrow y(t)$ of COVID-19 in China. The sequence $T \in [4, h] \rightarrow \hat{l}(T)$, with $\tau_1 = 4; \tau_2 = 1; \tau_3 = 1; h = 35$, equals

$$\hat{l}(4 : 35): 5, 5, 35, 5, 12, 9, 10, 35, 23, 35, 17, 15, 15, 14, 15, 14, 14, 14, 14, 15, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17.$$ 

The entry time in the zone of the peak: 15. The exit time from the zone of the peak: 25.
Forcasting the amplitude, the location and the width of the
Application with real data: France

\[ \tau_1 = 4; \tau_2 = 1; \tau_3 = 1; h = 85 \]

\[ \hat{l}(50 : 85) : 90, 66, 52, 90, 56, 63, 75, 67, 63, 69, 73, \\
64, 72, 73, 78, 82, 78, 74, 78, 74, 75, 73, 67, 69, 69, \\
67, 69, 70, 70, 71, 71, 71, 71, 71, 71, 72, 72, 72, 72. \]

The entry time in the zone of the peak: 69
The exit time from the zone of the peak: 81
We recall that the peak happens at the location 78.
Application with real data: Germany

\[ \hat{I}(40 : 75) : 75, 40, 42, 41, 44, 75, 44, 72, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 65, 54, 59, 58, 59, 60, 75, 70, 68, 62, 62, 63, 65, 68, 65, 64, 64, 64, 65, 65, 66 \]

the entry time in the region of the peak: 48
The exit time from the region of the peak: 69
We recall that the peak happens at the location 61.
Multiplicative noise model

Let $A$, $L$, $S$ three positive numbers and $t$ a positive integer. Let us corrupt $A \exp\left(-\frac{(t-L)^2}{S^2}\right)$, by the random number $\exp(e(t))$. We consider the observation $y(t) = A \exp\left(-\frac{(t-L)^2}{S^2} + e(t)\right)$.

![Graph showing additive, free, and multiplicative noise](image)
The entry time in the peak zone is equal to 14, while the exit time from the zone of the peak is 24.
Our algorithm 1 is able to predict in finite time the exact location, width and amplitude when the noise is moderate. If the noise is strong, then our algorithm is able to detect the entry time in the region of the peak and the exit time from the region of the peak. What is important in this work is its simplicity and its applicability to real data.
Confidence intervals from local minimums of objective function.
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